1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Final Environmental Impact Report for the Oroville Facilities Relicensing (FEIR) consists of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) dated May 21, 2007, plus errata and revisions included herein as Chapter 2.0, written comments received by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) on the DEIR, and DWR's responses to those comments.

Since the DEIR release, actions contained within the Draft Terrestrial Biological Assessment have been finalized by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) into a Biological Opinion. Additionally, the Draft Historic Properties Management Plan (HPMP) has been revised in response to comments from the Tribes. Also, the Draft Habitat Expansion Agreement discussed in Chapter 5 of the DEIR was finalized and signed by Pacific Gas & Electric Company as well as the applicable signatories to the Settlement Agreement (SA), including the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), USFWS, and the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). No changes to these documents resulted in any changes to environmental effects as analyzed in the DEIR.

1.1 PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

The public comment period for the DEIR began May 21, 2007, with an announcement of the availability of the DEIR, and ended August 20, 2007. The DEIR and a Notice of Completion were provided to the State Clearinghouse for distribution to interested State agencies. A Notice of Availability was filed in Butte County and published in the *Oroville Mercury Register*, the *Chico Enterprise Record*, and the *Paradise Post*.

A public hearing was held in Oroville June 21, 2007, to accept verbal and written comments. The public comment period was extended at the request of Butte County for an additional 30 days beyond the initial comment due date of July 20, 2007, closing on August 20, 2007.

The DEIR was made available online at DWR's Oroville Relicensing website (www.orovillerelicensing.water.ca.gov) and at libraries in Butte and Sacramento Counties. Over 900 notice letters were sent to interested stakeholders and approximately 230 copies of the document on CD were distributed. Additionally, 67 hard copies of the DEIR were distributed. Over 50 comment letters and over 1,000 copies of one form letter were received during the public comment period. These comments were considered and responded to during preparation of this FEIR. Responses to these comments are presented in this FEIR.

The DWR received comments on the DEIR by mail, fax, e-mail, and through a transcript of comments made at the public hearing.

1.2 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT COMPLIANCE STEPS

To certify the FEIR, DWR, as the lead agency, must find that the FEIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Under

the programmatic approach for preparation of the FEIR, additional technical analyses and environmental compliance will be necessary prior to implementation of some of the future actions. Additional mitigation monitoring and reporting programs related to future implementation would be developed as part of future project-level environmental documentation.

1.3 RESPONSES TO COMMENTS

CEQA requires lead agencies to evaluate comments on environmental issues received from persons who reviewed the DEIR and to prepare a written response. The FEIR contains responses to comments from agencies, recognized interest groups, and individuals. When there has been significant public comment, CEQA allows the lead agency to summarize or consolidate similar comments, as long as all substantive issues are represented. Chapter 3.0 of this FEIR contains several master responses that address similar comments received on specific topics such as climate change, rice yields, and socioeconomics. There were substantial numbers of comment letters that contained similar, if not identical, comments. Responses to these similar comments are provided once, and all of the sources of the comments are provided along with a copy of the representative comment letter.

1.4 FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT ORGANIZATION

In addition to the DEIR, which is incorporated herein by reference, this FEIR also includes the following chapters:

- Chapter 1.0, Introduction—This chapter introduces methods used in obtaining and reviewing comments on the DEIR and contains a discussion of compliance with CEQA.
- Chapter 2.0, Errata—Edits to the DEIR—This chapter presents errata and revisions to the DEIR.
- Chapter 3.0, Master Responses—This chapter presents master responses to issues that were raised by multiple commenters.
- Chapter 4.0, State Agency Comments and Responses—This chapter presents comments from State agencies and responses to these comments.
- Chapter 5.0, Regional and Local Agency Comments and Responses—This
 chapter presents comments from the interested regional and local agencies and
 responses to these comments.
- Chapter 6.0, Non-Governmental Organization and Local Special Interest Group Comments and Responses—This chapter presents comments from non-governmental organizations and local special interest groups and responses to these comments.

- Chapter 7.0, Public Comments and Responses—This chapter presents comments from interested members of the public, including a form letter submitted by numerous commenters, and responses to these comments.
- Appendix A—This appendix includes supplemental information provided as attachments by commenters to the DEIR, including form letters received. This supplemental information does not contain comments specific to the DEIR, but is provided for informational purposes.
- Appendix B—This appendix includes correspondence to DWR, the State Water Resources Control Board, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) from the office representing the Butte Water District, Sutter Extension Water District, Biggs-West Gridley Water District, Richvale Irrigation District, and Western Canal Water District and is provided for informational purposes.
- **Appendix C**—This appendix includes the "Amendment to Agreements on Diversion of Water from the Feather River and Settlement of Issues Related to the Temperature of Water Diversions" and is provided for informational purposes.

1.5 CEQA SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND FERC STAFF ALTERNATIVE

This section (reproduced from Section 5.16 of the DEIR) presents the results of the impact analysis for the Proposed Project and the FERC Staff Alternative in tabular format by SA articles (SA Appendix A) and sections (SA Appendix B) and identifies when mitigation measures would be used to reduce impacts to less-than-significant levels. Table 1.5-1, which begins on page 1-5 (and is identical to Table 5.16-1 in the DEIR), identifies beneficial effects as well as adverse impacts.

This page intentionally left blank.

		1	Table 1	.5-1. (EQA s	summa	ary o	f impacts an	d mitigation me					_	d Pro	ject and I	ERC Staff Alternative	e.			
			ı				T	1		E	Envi	ronmo	ental T	opics				T	1		1
Article #	Article Title	Level of CEQA Analysis - Project-level, Programmatic Level, or No Analysis at this time	5.1 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	5.2.1 Surface Water Quantity	5.2.2 Surface Water Quality	5.3 Groundwater Quality/Quantity	5.4 Aquatic Resources	5.5.1 Terrestrial Resources - Wildlife	5.5.2 Terrestrial Resources - Botanical	5.6 Land Use	5.7 Recreation	5.8 Cultural Resources	5.9.1 Population	5.9.2 Public Services	5.10 Environmental	5.11.1 Visual Resources	5.11.2 Noise	5.12 Air Quality	5.13 Agricultural Resources	5.14 Transportation and Traffic	5.15 Public Health and Safety
APPENDIX	A																				
A102	Gravel Supplementation and Improvement Program (Also see B105)	Programmatic with some Project elements	В	NI	B/LTS	NI	B/LTS	LTS/LTSM	B/LTS	NI	В	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	B/LTS	LTSM	LTSM	NA	LTS	LTSM
A102 - FERC EIS	Gravel Supplementation and Improvement Program	Programmatic with some Project elements	В	NI	B/LTS	NI	B/LTS	LTS/LTSM	B/LTSM	NI	В	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	B/LTS	LTSM	LTSM	NA	LTS	LTSM
A102 - Mitigation Measures	Gravel Supplementation and Improvement Program							During construction activities, avoid wildlife nursery areas during critical nesting periods.	Implement invasive species management and revegetate with appropriate native species. Stockpile soil if avoidance is not possible. Avoid ground-disturbing activities whenever possible, lessen areas of disturbance during project construction, and revegetate disturbed area with native species. Stockpile soil for reuse in areas of special resources to reinoculate the soils and seed bank.								If using heavy construction equipment closer than 75 feet to residences, campgrounds, or similar recreation or noisesensitive areas, the construction manager would either (a) arrange for all persons who would otherwise be within 75 feet of the work area to be moved to a farther distance or prevented from camping or recreating within the 75-foot limit, or, if not feasible, (b) erect temporary barriers of wood, noise abatement blankets, or similar material between the work area and the receptors. The barriers should be at least 8 feet high and solid from the ground to the top, and made of material that would reduce noise through the barrier (transmission loss) by at least 20 dBA. Plywood one-half inch thick would meet this requirement. Require construction contractors to limit noise-generating construction activities to the hours of 7 a.m. to 8 p.m., Monday through Friday, with no noise-generating activities on Saturdays, Sundays, or legal holidays. However, this restriction would not be applicable if it would result in operational impacts on the	s to the work site.			DWR would incorporate into program implementation onsite handling rules to keep hazardous materials out of the soil and receiving waters. The rules could include measures to: Store all reserve fuel supplies only within the confines of a designated staging area; Refuel equipment only in designated areas within the staging area; Regularly inspect all vehicles for leaks; Require preparation of a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan; and Require that staging areas be designed to contaminants such as oil, grease, and fuel products so that they do not drain toward receiving waters or storm drain inlets.

Page 1-5 June 2008

	I	I	Table 1	.5-1. C	EQA s	summa	ary of	impacts and	d mitigation me						Projec	t and F	ERC Staff Alternative).			
Article #	Article Title	Level of CEQA Analysis - Project-level, Programmatic Level, or No Analysis at this time	5.1 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	5.2.1 Surface Water Quantity	5.2.2 Surface Water Quality	5.3 Groundwater Quality/Quantity	5.4 Aquatic Resources	5.5.1 Terrestrial Resources - Wildlife	5.5.2 Terrestrial Resources - Botanical	5.6 Land Use	٩		5.9.1 Population Parameter Population Parameter Population Parameter Paramet		5.10 Environmental Justice	5.11.1 Visual Resources	5.11.2 Noise	5.12 Air Quality	5.13 Agricultural Resources	5.14 Transportation and Traffic	5.15 Public Health and Safety
																	Oroville Facilities or prevent activities to mitigate adverse conditions such as response to emergencies or other unforeseen situations.				
A103	Channel Improvement Program (This action is programmatic; however, Moe's and Hatchery Ditch activities are project-level)	Programmatic with some Project elements	NA	NI	B/LTS	NI	B/LTS	LTS/LTSM	B/LTS/LTSM	NI	B/L TS	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	В	LTS/LTSM	LTS/LTSM	NA	LTS	NA
A103 Mitigation Measures	Channel Improvement Program (This action is programmatic; however, Moe's and Hatchery Ditch activities are project-level)							temporal avoidance	For work related to the Feather River Improvement Program, use measures such as fencing to prevent access/ disturbance into adjacent wetland/sensitive areas from construction/mainten ance vehicles. Use erosion control and stabilization devices to prevent sediment from entering wetland and riparian habitats. Wetland/sensitive areas that cannot be avoided will be revegetated with appropriate native species. Use appropriate native species when revegetating adjacent wetland/riparian areas.								Same mitigation as described for A102	Same mitigation as described for A102			

			Table 1	.5-1. C	CEQA s	summa	ary o	f impacts and	d mitigation me	easu	res	for th	ne Proj	posed	Proje	ect and F	ERC Staff Alternative	э.			
							•				Envi	ronme	ental Top	pics							
Article #	Article Title	Level of CEQA Analysis - Project-level, Programmatic Level, or No Analysis at this time	5.1 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	5.2.1 Surface Water Quantity	5.2.2 Surface Water Quality	5.3 Groundwater Quality/Quantity	5.4 Aquatic Resources	5.5.1 Terrestrial Resources - Wildlife	5.5.2 Terrestrial Resources - Botanical	5.6 Land Use	5.7 Recreation	5.8 Cultural Resources	5.9.1 Population and Housing	5.9.2 Public Services	5.10 Environmental Justice	5.11.1 Visual Resources	5.11.2 Noise	5.12 Air Quality	5.13 Agricultural Resources	5.14 Transportation and Traffic	5.15 Public Health and Safety
A104	Structural Habitat Supplementation and Improvement Program (SHSI)	Programmatic	В	NI	B/LTS		B/LTS		B/LTS/LTSM	NI	B/L TS	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	В	LTSM	LTSM	NA	LTS	LTSM
Measures	Structural Habitat Supplementation and Improvement Program (SHSI)							Retain screening vegetation to limit indirect habitat loss and wildlife disruption/displace ment. Retain key wildlife habitat elements to the extent possible including snags, woody dead and down material, live trees containing cavities, and shrub cover. Retain mature trees and minimize use of non-native landscaping. Minimize recreational development in riparian or wetland habitats. Revegetate areas of disturbed soil.	Same mitigation as described for A103				LTS				Same mitigation as described for A102	Same mitigation as described for A102			Same mitigation as described for A102
A105	Fish Weir Program	Programmatic	NI	NI	B/LTS	NI	В	LTS/LTSM	B/LTS/LTSM	NI	LTS	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	LTS	LTSM	LTSM	NA	LTS	NA
A105 Mitigation Measures	Fish Weir Program							Modify weir design and operation to allow turtles passage without allowing salmon passage. Allow shoreline/shallowwater passage during periods of stable flow.	Same mitigation as described for A102								Same mitigation as described for A102	Same mitigation as described for A102			
A106	Riparian and Floodplain Improvement Program	Programmatic	В	NI	B/LTS	NI	B/LTS		B/LTSM	NI	B/L TS	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	LTSM	LTSM	NA	LTS	LTSM
A106 - FERC EIS	Riparian and Floodplain Improvement Program	Programmatic	В	NI	B/LTS	NI	B/LTS	В	B/LTSM	NI	B/L TS	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	LTSM	LTSM	NA	LTS	LTSM

Page 1-7 June 2008

			Table 1	.5-1. C	JEQA S	umma	ary or	impacts an	d mitigation me				ne Pro ental To		Proje	ect and r	FERC Staff Alternative	e.			
Article #	Article Title	Level of CEQA Analysis - Project-level, Programmatic Level, or No Analysis at this time	5.1 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	5.2.1 Surface Water Quantity	5.2.2 Surface Water Quality	5.3 Groundwater Quality/Quantity	5.4 Aquatic Resources	5.5.1 Terrestrial Resources - Wildlife	5.5.2 Terrestrial Resources - Botanical	5.6 Land Use	5.7 Recreation	Cultural	tion	5.9.2 Public Services	5.10 Environmental Justice	5.11.1 Visual Resources	5.11.2 Noise	5.12 Air Quality	5.13 Agricultural Resources	5.14 Transportation and Traffic	5.15 Public Health and Safety
A106 Mitigation Measures	Riparian and Floodplain Improvement Program								Same mitigation as described for A103								Same mitigation as described for A102	Same mitigation as described for A102			Same mitigation as described for A102
A107	Feather River Fish Hatchery water temperature	Project (107.2)	NA	NI	B/LTS	NI	В	NA	NA	NI	LTS	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	LTS	LTS	NI	LTS	NI
A108	Minimum Flow and Other Measures	Project (A108.1 only); Programmatic (108.2 and 108.3 only)**	NA	NI	B/LTS	NI	B/LTS	NA	B/LTS	NI	B/L TS	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	LTSM	LTS	B/LTS	LTS	LTSM
A108 Mitigation Measures	Minimum Flow and Other Measures																Same mitigation as described for A102	Same mitigation as described for A102			Same mitigation as described for A102
A110	Lake Oroville Warm Water Fishery Habitat Improvement Program	Programmatic	NA	NI	B/LTS	NI	В	В	B/LTS	NI	B/L TS	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	LTS	LTS/LTSM	LTS/LTSM	NA	LTS	NA
A110 Mitigation Measures	Lake Oroville Warm Water Fishery Habitat Improvement Program																Same mitigation as described for A102	Same mitigation as described for A102			
A111	Lake Oroville Cold Water Fishery Improvement Program	Programmatic	NA	NI	NA	NI	В	В	NA	NI	NA	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	LTSM	LTS	NA	LTS	NA
A111 Mitigation Measures	Lake Oroville Cold Water Fishery Improvement Program																Same mitigation as described for A102	Same mitigation as described for A102			
A112	Comprehensive Water Monitoring Program	Project (all of A112 except A112[a-c]=Programmatic)	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	NI/LTS	NI	В	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	LTS	LTS	LTS	NA	LTS	NA
A113	Monitoring of Bacteria Levels and Public Education	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	NI	NI	В	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NA
A114	Public Education Regarding Risks of Fish Consumption	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	NI	NI	В	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NA
A117	Protection of Vernal Pools	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	В	B/LTS	NI	LTS	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	LTS	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI

			Table 1	.5-1. C	CEQA s	summa	ary o	f impacts an	d mitigation m	easu	ıres	for t	he Pr	oposed	d Proj	ect and I	ERC Staff Alternative	е.			
									_		Env	ironm	ental 1	opics							
Article #	Article Title	Level of CEQA Analysis - Project-level, Programmatic Level, or No Analysis at this time	5.1 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	5.2.1 Surface Water Quantity	5.2.2 Surface Water Quality	5.3 Groundwater Quality/Quantity	5.4 Aquatic Resources	5.5.1 Terrestrial Resources - Wildlife	5.5.2 Terrestrial Resources - Botanical	5.6 Land Use	5.7 Recreation		5.9.1 Population	5.9.2 Public Services	5.10 Environmental	5.11.1 Visual Resources	5.11.2 Noise	5.12 Air Quality	5.13 Agricultural Resources	5.14 Transportation and Traffic	5.15 Public Health and Safety
A118	Minimization of Disturbances to Nesting Bald Eagles	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	В	B/LTS	NI	LTS	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A119	Protection of Giant Garter Snake	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	В	NI/B/LTS	NI	LTS	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A120	Protection of Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	В	NI/B/LTS	NI	LTS	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A121	Protection of Red- Legged Frog	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	В	NI/B/LTS	NI	LTS	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A122		Project	LTSM	NI	LTS	NI	NA	B/LTS	B/LTS	NI	B/L TS	LTS	LTS	LTS	NI	LTS	LTSM	LTSM	NA	LTS	NI
A122 Mitigation Measures	Construction and Recharge of Brood Ponds		Screen for presence of fossils; If avoidance is not possible, have plans in place for the recovery/pres ervation of any fossils encountered.														Same mitigation as described for A102	Same mitigation as described for A102			
A123	Provision of Upland Food for Nesting Waterfowl	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	В	B/LTS	NI	В	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A124	Provision of Nest Cover for Upland Waterfowl	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	В	B/LTS	NI	В	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A125	Installation of Wildlife Nesting Boxes	Project	NA	NI	NI	NI	NA	В	NA	NI	В	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	LTS	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A127	Recreation Management Plan	Programmatic with some Project elements	LTSM	NI	LTS	NI	NA	LTSM	LTSM	NI	B/L TS	LTS	LTS	LTS	В	LTS	B/LTSM	LTS/LTSM	NA	B/LTS	LTSM
A127 Mitigation Measures	Recreation Management Plan		Perform geologic investigation for facilities proposed in the Bidwell Marina area.					Same Mitigation as described for A104	Retain key wildlife habitat elements to the extent possible including snags, woody dead and down material, live trees containing cavities, and shrub cover. Retain mature trees and minimize use of non-native								Same mitigation as described for A102	Same mitigation as described for A102			Same mitigation as described for A102

Page 1-9 June 2008

			Table 1	.5-1. C	CEQA s	summa	ary of	impacts and	d mitigation me						l Proje	ect and F	ERC Staff Alternative				
					·				1	E	Envir	onme	ntal To	pics			Г	Г			
Article #	Article Title	Level of CEQA Analysis - Project-level, Programmatic Level, or No Analysis at this time	5.1 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	5.2.1 Surface Water Quantity	5.2.2 Surface Water Quality	5.3 Groundwater Quality/Quantity	5.4 Aquatic Resources	5.5.1 Terrestrial Resources - Wildlife	5.5.2 Terrestrial Resources - Botanical	5.6 Land Use	5.7 Recreation	5.8 Cultural Resources	5.9.1 Population and Housing	5.9.2 Public Services	5.10 Environmental Justice	5.11.1 Visual Resources	5.11.2 Noise	5.12 Air Quality	5.13 Agricultural Resources	5.14 Transportation and Traffic	5.15 Public Health and Safety
									landscaping. Minimize recreational development in riparian or wetland habitats. Revegetate areas of disturbed soil with native species. To reduce impacts on woodland communities, design new recreational features and improvements to minimize loss of large trees and special resource areas within the woodland communities.												
A128	Historic Properties Management Plan	Programmatic	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	LTS	NA	NI	LTS	В	LTS	LTS	В	NA	NA	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A128 - FERC EIS	Historic Properties Management Plan	Programmatic	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	LTS	NA	NI	LTS	В	LTS	LTS	В	NA	NA	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A129	Improve and Redirect Recreation Usage to Specific Areas at Foreman Creek (Plan development)	Project	NA	NI	B/LTS	NI	NA	В	B/LTS	NI	NA	В	LTS	LTS	ZI	LTS	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
A129 - FERC EIS	Improve and Redirect Recreation Usage to Specific Areas at Foreman Creek (Temporary closure during Plan development)	Project	NA	NI	LTS	NI	NA	В	B/LTS	NI	LTS	В	LTS	LTS	NI	LTS	NI	NA	NA	LTS	N
A132	Screening of Material Storage Area	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	B/LTS	NI	NA	NA	LTS	LTS	NI	В	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
FERC EIS	Re-seed, as necessary, the downstream face of Oroville Dam. [FERC]	Project	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	NA	NI	NI	NA	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NI	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI

			Table 1	.5-1. C	EQA s	summa	ary of i	impacts and	I mitigation m	eası	ıres	for t	ne Pro	posed	l Proj	ect and F	ERC Staff Alternative) .			
							-	-					ental To								
Article #		Level of CEQA Analysis - Project-level, Programmatic Level, or No Analysis at this time	5.1 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	5.2.1 Surface Water Quantity	5.2.2 Surface Water Quality	5.3 Groundwater Quality/Quantity	5.4 Aquatic Resources	5.5.1 Terrestrial Resources - Wildlife	5.5.2 Terrestrial Resources - Botanical	5.6 Land Use	5.7 Recreation	5.8 Cultural Resources	5.9.1 Population and Housing	5.9.2 Public Services	5.10 Environmental Justice	5.11.1 Visual Resources	5.11.2 Noise	5.12 Air Quality	5.13 Agricultural Resources	5.14 Transportation and Traffic	5.15 Public Health and Safety
APPENDIX E		1	l NA	NII	NIA I	NIA I	NIA I	NIA T	NIA.	l NIA	LNIA	NIA.	1.70	L 110	T NIA	l NA	LTOM	1.7014	NIA I	1.70	
B102	Development of a Fuel Load Management Plan		NA	NI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	LTS	NA	NA	NA	LTSM	LTSM	NA	LTS	В
B102 Mitigation Measures	Development of a Fuel Load Management Plan																Same mitigation as described for A102	If Fuel Load Management Program includes prescribed burns, the burns would be planned and coordinated with the BCAQMD in accordance with their Rule 309— Wildland Vegetation Management Burning. All prescribed burns would be approved by BCAQMD prior to execution.			
B103	Evaluation of need for Additional Gaging	Project (Visual), Programmatic (Terrestrial- Wildlife)	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	LTS	NI	NI	NA	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	LTSM	NI	NA	NA	LTS	NI
B103 Mitigation Measures	Evaluation of need for Additional Gaging	,														If needed, implement measures to avoid, reduce, or compensate for changes to visual resources with partial screening from public view or planting of other vegetation to reduce potential visual impacts.					

Page 1-11 June 2008

	T		Table 1	.5-1. C	EQA s	umma	ary of	impacts and	l mitigation me						Proje	ect and FE	RC Staff Alternative) .			
											Envi	ronme	ental To	pics							
Article #	Article Title	Level of CEQA Analysis - Project-level, Programmatic Level, or No Analysis at this time	5.1 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	5.2.1 Surface Water Quantity	5.2.2 Surface Water Quality	5.3 Groundwater Quality/Quantity	5.4 Aquatic Resources	5.5.1 Terrestrial Resources - Wildlife	5.5.2 Terrestrial Resources - Botanical	5.6 Land Use	5.7 Recreation	5.8 Cultural Resources	5.9.1 Population and Housing	5.9.2 Public Services	5.10 Environmental Justice	5.11.1 Visual Resources	5.11.2 Noise	5.12 Air Quality	5.13 Agricultural Resources	5.14 Transportation and Traffic	5.15 Public Health and Safety
B107	Revision of Speed Limit Regulation for Thermalito Afterbay - recommendation by DFG	Programmatic (Air Quality); Project (Terrestrial- Wildlife)	NA	NI	NA	NI	NA	LTS	NI	NI	NI	NI	LTS	LTS	NI	NA	NI	LTS	NA	LTS	NI
APPENDIX F	Habitat Expansion Agreement	Programmatic	NA	NA	NA	NA	В	NA	NA	NA	NA	LTS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Coding:

B = Action with potential to result in a beneficial effect; could involve short-term, less-than-significant, construction related impacts that would be avoided or reduced through the use of Best Management Practices (BMPs).

NA = No Analysis

NI = Action that would result in no impact.

LTS = Action that would result in less-than-significant impact on resource.

LTSM = Action that would result in less-than-significant impact on resource following mitigation.